7764. Trial, nor discharge the Defendant from Bail, without some ape

## The Leffer of Richardson versus Campbell.

De LAINTIFF supported his Title by a Patent dated in 1762. The Der nd int produced Receipts from the Proprietary's Officers, with a W rrant from Mr. Peters, Secretary of the Land Office, several Years prior to Plaintist's Patent, and proved upwards of twenty Years Possession; but the Plaintist contending that the Receipts were only for Money paid on accompt of an adjacent Tract, and that there was some imposition on the Land Officer when the Warrant was granted; the Desendant produced a Witness to prove a parol Declaration of Mr. Thomas Penn (when he was in the Country) that the Land in dispute was sold to Desendant.—This piece of Evidence was opposed by the Plaintist, and resulted By The Court.

N. R. The Plaintist could prove no imposition on the Officer.

N. B. The Plaintiff could prove no imposition on the () heer, and the Court gave a Charge in favour of the Defendant, and the

Praintiff would not take the Verdict, but became nonfuit.

## STORY and WHARTON verfus Amos Strettell.

UR Policy of Insurance. The Captain's Protest in Jamaics under the Seal of a Notary Publick there, given in Evidence to

prove the Capture, and not opposed.

Instructions from the Plaintiss (Owners of the Vessel insured) to the Captain at the Time of his failing, sworn by the Captain to be the only Instructions he had, were given in Evidence by the Plaintiss, to prove they had given the Captain no Orders to buy the Vessel on their account in case of a capture and re-capture, slightly opposed by Desend nts Council, and given up without debate.

The D fend at in this case underwrote an open Policy on the Vessel from Philadelp, ia to Jamaica, she was taken by the Enemy and ret ken, and carried into Jamaica, where by Agreement between the Captain and Re-c ptors, without going into the Court of Admir. Ity, the was sold at public Sole for about one fourth of the Sun insured, and bought by the Captain for the former Owners, who afterwards acquiesced in the purchase, and now sued for the whole Sum insured as a total loss. The Sole was proved to be fair, and the Plaintist's Council insufficed that from the moment of the Capture, there was a total loss, and cited divers cases to shew, that if there he a Capture; though it he not such a one as by the Law of N tions would change the Property, yet it would be insticient to the regular underwriters with a total Loss, and the Assured my about thom—Beausi Lix Mer. 268. Conveyher 225, 250, 300, 240.

A. But fen the Hob; Corp. Ad § 3. Palled the 18th Feb. 2705.